1 2 3 4	ROSENBLUM, SCHWARTZ, ROGERS, GL ATTORNEYS AT LAW 120 S. Central, Suite 130 St. Louis, MO 63105 Telephone: (314) 862-4332	ASS P.C.		
5 6 7 8 9	Attorney at Law California State Bar No. 097377 964 Fifth Avenue, Ste. 214 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 239-4344 Facsimile: (619) 544-1429 Attorneys for Defendant			
11				
12				
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
14	FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
15				
16	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )	CASE NO. 08CR0274-02-LAB		
17	Plaintiff,	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION		
18	vs.	FOR DISCOVERY		
19	CHRISTOPHER BLACK,	D (		
20	Defendant.	Date: July 28, 2008 Time: 2:00 p.m.		
21	)			
22	TO: KAREN P. HEWITT, UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, AND CHRISTOPHER P. TENORIO, ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY:			
23				
24	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on Monday, July 28, 2008 at 2:00 p.m. or as soon			
25		thereafter as counsel may be heard, the above named Defendant, by and through his		
26	counsel, will present the following Motion fo	r Discovery.		
27				
28		1 08CR0274-02-LAB		

## **MOTION**

Defendant, CHRISTOPHER BLACK, pursuant to the provisions of Rules 12 and 16 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and the Fourth, Fifth, and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and the applicable case law of the United States Supreme Court and the Circuit Courts of the United States, hereby moves for discovery.

This Motion is made and based upon this Notice of Motion, the attached Memorandum of Points and Authorities, all files and records in the above captioned case, and on any matters which may come to this Court's attention prior to or at the time of hearing this motion.

Dated: July 9, 2008

<u>/s/ John P. Rogers</u> JOHN P. ROGERS

Dated: July 9, 2008 /s/ Mark F. Adams

MARK F. ADAMS
Attorneys for Defendant
Christopher Black

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) Case No. 08CR0274-02-LAB
Plaintiff,	
V	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
CHRISTOPHER BLACK,	
Defendant.	) ) )

## IT IS HEREBY CERTIFIED THAT:

I, Mark F. Adams, am a citizen of the United States and am at least eighteen years of age. My business address is 964 Fifth Avenue, Suite 335, San Diego, California 92101.

I am not a party to the above-entitled action. I have caused service of the defense MOTION FOR DISCOVERY on the following parties by electronically filing the foregoing with the Clerk of the United States District Court using its ECF System, which electronically notifies the following individuals:

Christopher P. Tenorio, Attorney for the Government, christopher.tenorio@usdoj.gov

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that this proof of service was executed on July 9, 2008 at San Diego, California.

/s/ Mark F. Adams Mark F. Adams

JOHN P. ROGERS 1 ROSENBLUM, SCHWARTZ, ROGERS, GLASS P.C. 2 ATTORNEYS AT LAW 120 S. Central, Suite 130 3 St. Louis, MO 63105 Telephone: (314) 862-4332 4 Facsimile: (314) 862-8050 5 MARK F. ADAMS Attorney at Law 6 California State Bar No. 097377 964 Fifth Avenue, Ste. 214 7 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 239-4344 8 Facsimile: (619) 544-1429 9 Attorney for Defendant **Christopher Black** 10 11 12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 13 FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 14 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 15 **CASE NO. 08CR0274-02-LAB** 16 Plaintiff. **POINTS AND AUTHORITIES** IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR ٧. 17 DISCOVERY CHRISTOPHER BLACK, 18 Defendant. DATE: July 28, 2008 19 TIME: 2:00 p.m. 20 21 STATEMENT OF THE CASE 22 On May 23, 2008 a ten count superceding indictment was returned charging a 23 Conspiracy to Engage in Sex Trafficking of Children, Conspiracy to Coerce and Entice 24 Juveniles into Prostitution, and eight substantive counts of Recruiting and Enticing 25 Juveniles into Prostitution all in violation of Title 18 U.S.C. §§ 371, 1591, and 2422. 26 The superceding indictment charges Mr. Black in both conspiracy counts and four of 27 28 1 08CR0274-02-LAB

the substantive charges. Mr. Black was arrested on May 29, 2008 in the Western

1

5

9

12 13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26

27

28

District of Texas. He was removed to this District and arraigned at his first appearance in Court on June 27, 2008. The undersigned counsel first appeared on Mr. Black's behalf at a detention hearing on July 2, 2008. Mr. Black has plead not guilty to all counts in which he is named. No discovery has been received by counsel of record as of the date of filing this motion for discovery.

## THE DEFENDANT REQUESTS ALL DISCOVERY IN POSSESSION OF THE GOVERNMENT

Mr. Black specifically requests the following items of discovery. This request is not limited to those items that the prosecutor knows of, but rather includes all discovery listed below that is in the custody, control, care, or knowledge of any "closely related investigative [or other] agencies" under *United States v. Bryan*, 868 F.2d 1032 (9th Cir. 1989):

(1) The Defendant's Statements. Under Fed. R. Crim. P. 16 (a)(1)(A) the defendant is entitled to disclosure of all copies of any written or recorded statements made by the defendant; the substance of any statements made by the defendant which the government intends to offer in evidence at trial; any recorded testimony of the defendant before the grand jury; any response by the defendant to interrogation; the substance of any oral statements which the government intends to introduce at trial. and any written summaries of the defendant's oral statements contained in the handwritten notes of the government agent; any response to any Miranda warnings which may have been given to the defendant (See *United States v. McElroy*, 697 F.2d 459 (2d Cir. 1982)); and any other statements by the defendant that are discoverable under Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(A). The Advisory Committee Notes as well as the 1991 amendments to Rule 16 make it clear that the Government must reveal all the

2

intends to introduce those statements;

4

7

12

14

19

23

21

25

28

(2) Arrest Reports, Notes and Dispatch Tapes. The defendant also specifically

defendant's statements, whether oral or written regardless of whether the Government

- requests that all arrest reports, notes and dispatch or any other tapes that relate to the circumstances surrounding his arrest or any questioning, if such reports have not already been produced in their entirety, be turned over to him. This request includes, but is not limited to, any rough notes, records, reports, transcripts or other documents in which statements of the defendant or any other discoverable material is contained. This is all discoverable under Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(A) and Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963). See also *United States v. Johnson*, 525 F.2d 999 (2d Cir. 1975); United States v. Lewis, 511 F.2d 798 (D.C. Cir. 1975); United States v. Pilnick, 267 F. Supp. 791 (S.D.N.Y. 1967); Loux v. United States, 389 F.2d 911 (9th Cir. 1968). Arrest reports, investigator's notes, memos from arresting officers, dispatch tapes, sworn statements, and prosecution reports pertaining to the defendant are available under Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(B), Fed. R. Crim. P. 26.2 and 12(I);
- (3) Reports of Scientific Tests or Examinations. Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(F), the accused requests the reports of all tests and examinations conducted upon the evidence in this case, including any fingerprint testing which is known, or by the exercise of due diligence may become known, to the attorney for the government, and which is material to the preparation of the defense or are intended for use by the government as evidence in chief at the trial;
- (4) Brady Material. The defendant requests all documents, statements, agents' reports, and tangible evidence favorable to the defendant on the issue of guilt and/or which affects the credibility of the government's case. Impeachment as well as exculpatory evidence falls within Brady's definition of evidence favorable to the accused. United States v. Bagley, 473 U.S. 667 (1985); United States v. Agurs, 427

U.S. 97 (1976);

- (5) Any Information that May Result in a Lower Sentence under the advisory United States Sentencing Guidelines (U.S.S.G.). As discussed above, this information is discoverable under *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83 (1963). This request includes any information that could affect any base offense level or any potential specific offense characteristic under Chapter Two of the U.S.S.G. Also included in this request is any information relevant to a Chapter Three adjustment, a determination of the defendant's criminal history, or any other application of the U.S.S.G.;
- (6) The Defendant's Prior Record. Evidence of prior record is available under Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(D);
- (7) Any Proposed 404(b) Evidence Evidence of prior similar acts is discoverable under Fed. R. Evid. 404(b) and 609. In addition, under Fed. R. Evid. 404(b), "upon request of the accused, the prosecution . . . shall provide reasonable notice in advance of trial . . . of the general nature . . ." of any evidence the government proposes to introduce under Fed. R. Evid. 404(b) at trial. The defendant requests that such notice be given well in advance of trial in order to give the defense time to adequately investigate and prepare for trial;
- (8) Evidence Seized. Evidence seized as a result of any search, either warrantless or with a warrant, is discoverable under Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(E);
- (9) Request for Preservation of Evidence. The defendant specifically requests that all physical evidence, notes, reports, records, and other things which may be destroyed, lost, or otherwise put out of the possession, custody, or care of the government and which relate to the investigation and/or arrest or the events leading to the arrest in this case be preserved. This request includes, but is not limited to, any samples used to run any scientific tests, any narcotics, and any evidence, including reports of interviews, seized from any third party. It is requested that the government

7

10

11

13

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

26

27

28

be ordered to question all the agencies and individuals involved in the prosecution and investigation of this case to determine if such evidence exists, and if it does exist, to inform those parties to preserve any such evidence;

- (10) Tangible Objects The defendant requests, under Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(E), the opportunity to inspect and copy as well as test, if necessary, all other documents and tangible objects, including photographs, books, papers, documents, photographs of building or places or copies of portions thereof which are material to the defense or intended for use in the government's case-in-chief, or were obtained from or belong to the defendant;
- (11) Evidence of Bias or Motive to Lie. The defendant requests any evidence that any prospective government witness is biased or prejudiced against the defendant, or has a motive to falsify or distort his or her testimony. *Pennsylvania v. Ritchie*, 480 U.S. 39 (1987); United States v. Strifler. 851 F.2d 1197 (9th Cir. 1988);
- (12) Impeachment Evidence. The defendant requests any evidence that any prospective government witness has engaged in any criminal act, whether or not resulting in a conviction, and whether any witness has made a statement favorable to the defendant. See Fed. R. Evid. 608, 609 and 613. Such evidence is discoverable under Brady v. Maryland, supra. See, United States v. Strifler, 851 F.2d 1197 (9th Cir. 1988) (witness' prior record); Thomas v. United States, 343 F.2d 49 (9th Cir. 1965) (evidence that detracts from a witness' credibility);
- (13) Evidence of Criminal Investigation of Any Government Witness. The defendant requests any evidence that any prospective witness is under investigation by federal, state or local authorities for any criminal conduct. United States v. Chitty, 760 F.2d 425 (2d Cir.) cert. denied, 474 U.S. 945 (1985);
- (14) Evidence Affecting Perception, Recollection, Ability to Communicate, or Truth Telling. The defense requests any evidence, including any medical or psychiatric

report or evaluation, tending to show that any prospective witness' ability to perceive, remember, communicate, or tell the truth is impaired; and any evidence that a witness has ever used narcotics or other controlled substance, or has ever been an alcoholic. *United States v. Strifler*, 851 F.2d 1197 (9th Cir. 1988); *Chavis v. North Carolina*, 637 F.2d 213, 224 (4th Cir. 1980);

- (15) Witness Addresses. The defendant requests the name and last known address of each prospective government witness. See *United States v. Napue*, 834 F.2d 1311 (7th Cir. 1987); *United States v. Tucker*, 716 F.2d 576 (9th Cir. 1983) (failure to interview government witnesses by counsel is ineffective); *United States v. Cook*, 608 F.2d 1175, 1181 (9th Cir. 1979) (defense has equal right to talk to witnesses). The defendant also requests the name and last known address of every witness to the crime or crimes charged (or any of the overt acts committed in furtherance thereof) who will not be called as a government witness. *United States v. Cadet*, 727 F.2d 1453 (9th Cir. 1984);
- (16) Name of Witnesses Favorable to the Defendant. The defendant requests the name of any witness who made an arguably favorable statement concerning the defendant or who could not identify him or who was unsure of her identity, or participation in the crime charged. *Jackson v. Wainwright*, 390 F.2d 288 (5th Cir. 1968); *Chavis v. North Carolina*, 637 F.2d 213, 223 (4th Cir. 1980); *Jones v. Jago*, 575 F.2d 1164, 1168 (6th Cir.), cert. denied, 439 U.S. 883 (1978); *Hudson v. Blackburn*, 601 F.2d 785 (5th Cir. 1979), cert. denied, 444 U.S. 1086 (1980);
- (17) Statements Relevant to the Defense. The defendant requests disclosure of any statement that may be "relevant to any possible defense or contention" that he might assert. *United States v. Bailleaux*, 685 F.2d 1105 (9th Cir. 1982). This request also includes any evidence of mitigation, including but not limited to those mitigation factors identified at 18 U.S.C. §3592(a);

- (18) Jencks Act Material. The defense requests all material to which defendant is entitled pursuant to the *Jencks Act*, 18 U.S.C. § 3500, reasonably in advance of trial, including witness statements of absolutely any kind. A verbal acknowledgment that "rough" notes constitute an accurate account of the witness' interview is sufficient for the report or notes to qualify as a statement under §3500(e)(1). *Campbell v. United States*, 373 U.S. 487, 490-92 (1963). In *United States v. Boshell*, 952 F.2d 1101 (9th Cir. 1991), the Ninth Circuit held that when an agent goes over interview notes with the subject of the interview the notes are then subject to the Jencks Act. The defense requests pre-trial production of Jencks material to expedite cross-examination and to avoid lengthy recesses during trial;
- (19) Giglio Information. Pursuant to *Giglio v. United States*, 405 U.S. 150 (1972), the defendant requests all statements and/or promises, express or implied, made to any government witnesses, in exchange for their testimony in this case, and all other information which could arguably be used for the impeachment of any government witnesses;
- (20) Personnel Records of Government Officers Involved in the Arrest and Investigation. The defendant requests all citizen complaints and other related internal affairs documents involving any of the law enforcement officers who were involved in the investigation, arrest and interrogation of him, pursuant to *Pitchess v. Superior Court*, 11 Cal. 3d 531, 539 (1974). Because of the sensitive nature of these documents, defense counsel will not be able to procure them from any other source;
- (21) Government Examination of Law Enforcement Personnel Files. The accused requests that the government examine the personnel files and any other files within its custody, care or control, or which could be obtained by the government, for all testifying witnesses, including testifying officers. The defense requests that these files be reviewed by the government attorney for evidence of perjurious conduct or other like

dishonesty, or any other material relevant to impeachment, or any information that is exculpatory, pursuant to its duty under *United States v. Henthorn*, 931 F.2d 29 (9th Cir. 1991). The obligation to examine files arises by virtue of the defense making a demand for their review: the Ninth Circuit in *Henthorn* remanded for in camera review of the agents' files because the government failed to examine the files of agents who testified at trial. This Court should therefore order the government to review all such files for all testifying witnesses and turn over any material relevant to impeachment or that is exculpatory to Mr. Black prior to trial. Mr. Black specifically requests that the prosecutor, not the law enforcement officers, review the files in this case. The duty to review the files, under *Henthorn*, should be the prosecutor's and not the officers'. Only the prosecutor has the legal knowledge and ethical obligations to fully comply with this request;

- (22) Expert Summaries. Written summaries of all expert testimony that the government intends to present under Federal Rules of Evidence 702, 703 or 705 during its case in chief, written summaries of the bases for each expert's opinion, and written summaries of the experts' qualifications. Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(G). This request includes but is not limited to expert testimony regarding the chemical composition of the substances seized in this case, expert testimony on the value of the purported illegal drugs seized in this case, fingerprint expert testimony, structure or organization evidence, code speak evidence, and drug courier or other profile expert testimony; and,
- (23) Agreements Between the Government and Witnesses. The defendant requests discovery regarding any express or implicit promise, understanding, offer of immunity, of past, present, or future compensation, or any other kind of agreement or understanding, including any implicit understanding relating to criminal or civil income tax, forfeiture or fine liability, between any prospective government witness and the government (federal, state and/or local). This request also includes any discussion with

1	a potential witness and/or his counsel about or advice concerning any immigration	
2	benefits, any contemplated prosecution, or any possible plea bargain, even if no	
3	bargain was made or the advice not followed.	
4	III.	
5	CONCLUSION	
6	For the forgoing reasons, and based upon the argument of counsel, Defendant	
7	Christopher Black, requests forthwith production of all discovery.	
8		
9	Dated: July 9, 2008 /s/ John P. Rogers JOHN P. ROGERS	
10	JOHN F. ROGERS	
11		
12		
13 14	Dated: July 9, 2008  /s/ Mark F. Adams MARK F. ADAMS	
15	Attorneys for Defendant  Christopher Black	
16	Omistopher Black	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	9 08CR0274-02-LAB	